

DECEMBER 30, 2000

5 FIELD OF THE INVENTION

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

One approach to approved cooperation between compiler and processor is using predicated instructions of a predicated execution processor. A predicated execution processor is a processor where an instruction is guarded by a Boolean operand whose value determines if the instruction is executed or nullified. To exploit ILP, a compiler can take full advantage of the predicated execution processor by applying a technique referred to as if-conversion. In short, if-conversion is an optimization that converts control flow dependence into data flow dependence. With if-conversion, the compiler can collapse multiple control flow paths and schedule them based only on data dependencies. Even though a predicated execution model exposes more ILP, such a predicated execution model may not always yield enhanced

5

10

15

20

The present invention is illustrated by way of example and not limitation in the figures of the accompanying drawings in which like references indicate similar elements.

- 5 Fig. 1 illustrates a block diagram of a CPU of one embodiment.
- Fig. 1A shows one embodiment of an in-order conventional CPU.
- Fig. 1B illustrates an in-order limited predicate slip CPU of one embodiment.
- Fig. 1C shows an out-of-order CPU of one embodiment.
- Fig. 2 shows a code sequence of one embodiment.
- 10 Fig. 3A shows a standard in-order CPU algorithm of one embodiment.
- Figs. 3B-3C show an enhanced in-order CPU algorithm of one embodiment.
- Figs. 3D-3E illustrate a limited predicate slip in-order CPU algorithm (with temporary result buffer) of one embodiment.
- Figs. 4A-4C illustrate a standard out-of-order CPU algorithm of one embodiment.
- 15 Figs. 5A-5C illustrate a limited predicate slip out-of-order CPU algorithm of one embodiment.

A system and method of processing a predicated instruction is disclosed. A consumer instruction and a predicated instruction are received in a reservation station of an out-order processor. The consumer instruction depends on a result of the predicated instruction. The predicated instruction is dispatched to an execution unit for execution. The executed predicate instruction is stored in a re-order buffer.

There are several variations of out-of-order or dynamic execution processors.

10 An out-of-order execution processor is shown in Fig. 1. The out-of-order execution processor includes a dynamic portion 105 of the processor 100 including a register-renaming unit 110, which maps between temporary and architectural files, a reorder buffer 120, a number of reservation stations 130, and a number of execution units 140. A bus 115 couples the register renaming unit 110, the reorder buffer 120, the reservation stations 130, the execution units 140, and a scoreboard 132 together and to the remaining portions of the processor that are not shown.

In a conventional in-order processor, there are three types of data dependencies: read after write (RAW), write after read (WAR), and write after write (WAW). Out-of-order (dynamic) scheduling attempts to reduce the stalls of the true or RAW dependencies, while register renaming eliminates the false dependencies, WAR and WAW.

Predication is used to transform a control dependency to a (true) data
25 dependency, that is, the dependency between the write of predicate registers and the

read of predicate registers for guarding instructions. However, predicate dependency is not truly a RAW dependency; predicate dependency has special properties. Unlike the roles of other source operands, the predicate does not contribute to the result of the instruction computation. However, the predicate dictates whether the result is to be committed.

One property of a predicate implies that the predicated instruction can still be executed regardless of the value of the predicate since predicate does not alter the outcome of the computation. Instead, the predicate determines whether the outcome is to be used or not used. Predicate slip provides performance improvement by taking full advantage of this property of the predicate dependency.

In principle, the predicate adds another input dependency to the first instruction. Unlike other data operands however, the predicate can only assume the “on” or “off” states. In general, an instruction in a processor can only be scheduled for execution after all of the instruction’s inputs are resolved. In a simplistic implementation, a predicated instruction must be stalled until any predicates to the instruction are resolved.

A more sophisticated, in-order processor can issue a predicated instruction with an unresolved predicate. However, the predicated instruction must stall if the predicate is not resolved in the immediately following clock cycle. The stall prevents potentially incorrect data from being distributed by the bypass network. As soon as the predicate is resolved, the result of the instruction can either be distributed or discarded, as determined by the predicate, and the correctness of the data is

09751361-13000

guaranteed.

One embodiment of an in-order pipeline is shown in Fig. 1A. The front-end 160 fetches and decodes instructions. In the register read stage 162 the source
5 operands are read from the register file 168. A scoreboard 170 indicates if the operands are ready. If any one of the source operands are not available the pipeline stalls. If an instruction is predicated (i.e. has a guarding predicate), the scoreboard 170 is checked next for the availability of the guarding predicate. If the guarding predicate is available, the guarding predicate is read from the register file 168 location
10 containing the guarding predicate. If the guarding predicate is not available a simple machine would stall the pipeline. An enhanced machine of one embodiment could postpone stalling due to an unavailable guarding predicate until the completion of the execute stage 164. The execute stage 164 is the last chance of preventing a predicated-off result to be sent to following instructions via a result bypass network. In either
15 case, a 'false' guarding predicate causes the result of the instruction to be discarded while a 'true' predicate allows the result to be written to the register file 168 in the write back stage 166.

One embodiment of an in-order pipeline with limited predicate slip is shown
20 in Fig. 1B. The pipeline operates similar to the pipeline described above in Fig. 1A until the register read stage 162. In the register read stage 162 only source operand availability is checked. An unavailable guarding predicate does not stall execution. After execution, the result is written back to the register file 168, if the predicate is known and 'true'. The result is discarded if the predicate is known and 'false'. If the
25 predicate is still unresolved the result is written back to a temporary result buffer 172

(associative buffer). This delays the update of the register file 168 until the predicate is resolved. The temporary result buffer 172 allows the pipeline to not stall due to an unavailable predicate in the cycle in which the register file 168 needs to be updated.

5 The illustrated pipelines of Figs 1A and 1B are exemplary only. More complex CPUs having multiple concurrent pipelines of varying lengths are also contemplated. An important issue to note is that the in-order pipeline issues instructions and resolves potential exceptions in-order. After that, the register file 168 can be updated out-of-order. The scoreboard 170 ensures that there are no out-of-order
10 multiple writes to the same register. For an alternative embodiment with pipelines that must update the register file 168 in-order, for all pending register file writes following an unresolved predicate must be buffered in the temporary result buffer 172.

Fig. 1C shows a pipeline diagram of an out-of-order pipeline of one embodiment. The front-end 160 operates similar to that described in Fig. 1B in an in-order machine. The register read stage 162 obtains source operands from the register file 168, if available. The schedule/issue stage 174 decides when to execute an instruction. An instruction is executed when the scoreboard 170 indicates that the corresponding source operands are available. A conventional machine would regard the predicate as a source operand and prevent the schedule/issue stage 174 from issuing the instruction until the predicate is resolved and available. On embodiment of a limited slip pipeline ignores predicate availability at the schedule/issue stage 174. After execution, the results are written back to the reorder buffer 178. The reorder buffer 178 guarantees in-order updates of the architectural register file 168. In the limited predicate slip method, the reorder buffer 178 also assumes the functionality of

the temporary result suffer 172 that was added in the in-order pipeline described in Fig. 1B above.

The retire stage 176 is responsible for moving results from the reorder buffer 178 into the register file 168 in-order. If a predicate is unresolved when its result is to be written back to the register file 168 all subsequent register file writes are stalled until the predicate is resolved. Note that this does not stall the main execution pipeline (i.e. stages 160-176) unless the reorder buffer 178 is completely filled up. As described in the in-order case above in Figs. 1A and 1B, a ‘false’ predicate indicates that the result is to be discarded while a ‘true’ predicate causes the result to be written to the architectural register file.

It should be noted that the limited predicate slip method is not limited to the simple pipeline presented in the above example. More complex out-of-order pipelines that may contain register renaming, reservation stations and other enhancements are also contemplated. Separate reorder buffers and architectural register file are not required as long as the ordering of results becoming architecturally visible is maintained. Enhancements to the limited slip method depend on the pipeline structure and can also include early discarding of instructions with known ‘false’ guarding predicates to save execution bandwidth.

A code sequence of one embodiment is shown in Fig.2. Instruction 1 sets the register R1. Instruction 2 loads R2 from memory and can potentially be a cache miss thus delaying the register write. Instruction 3 compares R2 with 0 and sets predicate P1. This can potentially be a multi-cycle operation in highly pipelined machines.

5 If the predicate P1 is unavailable by the time instruction 4 is to be executed,
the limited predicate slip algorithms, as described in more detail below, will delay a
pipeline stall as long as possible. In the code example shown in Fig. 2 when
instruction 5 needs to be executed, due to the dependence described above. Note that
in real code there can be any number of unrelated instructions in between the ones
10 shown (1-5). In summary, the following dependencies exist:

15

20

Fig. 3A shows the transition of an instruction from the register read stage to execution stage in a standard in-order CPU of one embodiment. For each instruction in the register read stage, the availability of the source operands of the instruction are checked in process block 302. Alternatively, the "oldest" instruction (i.e. the instruction that was issued to the register read stage first) is checked first and less aged instructions are checked in order of age. The scoreboard is queried to determine if the source operands are ready or available. If any one of the source operands are not available, then the pipeline is stalled until all of the source operands are available. If all of the source operands are available, then the source predicate is checked for

5

10

20

25

block 316.

For one embodiment of a limited predicate slip, in-order CPU, with a temporary result buffer, the transition of an instruction from the register read stage to execution stage is substantially similar to that described above in Fig. 3B. Fig. 3D shows the transition of an instruction from the execution stage to write back stage in a limited predicate slip, in-order CPU, with a temporary result buffer. For each instruction in the execution stage, the availability of the source predicate of the instruction are checked in process block 320. Alternatively, the "oldest" instruction (i.e. the instruction that was issued to the execution stage first) is checked first and less aged instructions are checked in order of age. The scoreboard is queried to determine if the source predicate is ready or available. If the source predicate is not available, then the result of the instruction is written back to the temporary result buffer in process block 328. Alternatively, the temporary result buffer can be checked to determine if the temporary result buffer is full, as shown in process block 326, before the result is written back to the temporary result buffer. If the source predicate is available in process block 320, then the instruction can be advanced to the write back stage in process block 322 and the scoreboard is cleared in process block 324.

Fig. 3E shows the processing of an instruction in the temporary result buffer. For each instruction in the temporary result buffer, the availability of the source predicate of the instruction are checked in process block 330. Alternatively, the "oldest" instruction (i.e. the instruction that was issued to the temporary result buffer first) is checked first and less aged instructions are checked in order of age. The scoreboard is queried to determine if the source predicate is ready or available. If the

5

10

15

20

25

Fig. 4C shows the processing of the instruction in the reorder buffer. If the result of the instruction is not ready in process block 420, then the update of the reorder buffer is stalled until the result is ready. If the result of the instruction is ready in process block 420, then the result is written to the register file in process block 422 and the entry in the reorder buffer is cleared in process block 424.

Fig. 5B shows the transition from execution to retiring the instruction in a limited predicate slip, out-of-order CPU of one embodiment. The source predicate is checked for availability in process block 510. If the predicate is available, then the result of the instruction is transferred to the reorder buffer in process block 514.

5

10

15

25

to specific exemplary embodiments thereof. It will be evident that various modifications may be made thereto without departing from the broader spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the following claims. The specification and drawings are, accordingly, to be regarded in an illustrative sense rather than a restrictive sense.

5

097E1061-420000